For the Northern District of California

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JOHN L WHEELER; GLORIA A WHEELER, NO C 08-03230 VRW
12	Plaintiffs, ORDER
13	V
14	BANK OF AMERICA NT and SA; LIBERTY REVERSE MORTGAGE; SEATTLE
15	FINANCIAL GROUP,
16	Defendants.
17	
18	
19	

On February 12, 2009, the court continued the stay in this matter pending the resolution of Miller v Bank of America NT and SA, 46 Cal 4th 630 (2009) ("Miller").

On February 20, 2009, the court dismissed the claims against defendants Liberty Reserve Mortgage and Seattle Financial Group. Doc #39.

On June 1, 2009, the California Supreme Court issued its The court concluded that Bank of America's decision in Miller. practice of balancing customers' accounts by applying account

credits against account debits does not violate California law. 46 Cal 4th at 638-44. The supreme court's decision became final on July 24, 2009, when the court issued its remittitur to the court of appeal. Doc #40, Exh D. Given that plaintiffs' complaint challenges the same

banking practices that the California supreme court has found to be legal, plaintiffs are ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE in a writing not to exceed five pages why the court should not execute judgment for defendants. Any return to this order shall be filed on or before August 31, 2009.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

VAUGHN R WALKER United States District Chief Judge